



# Distribution of Spectrum in a Direct Sum Decomposition of Operators into Normal and Completely Non-Normal Parts

Mwenda E.<sup>1</sup>, Musundi S. W.<sup>2,\*</sup>, Nzimbi B. M.<sup>1</sup>, Marani V. N.<sup>3</sup> and Loyford N.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics, University of Nairobi, Chiromo Campus, P. O. Box 30197-00100, Nairobi.

<sup>2</sup>Chuka University, P.O. Box 109-60400, Kenya.

<sup>3</sup>Sangalo Institute of Science and Technology, P.O. Box 158, Bungoma.

<sup>4</sup>Kenya Methodist University, P.O. Box 267, Meru.

\* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: [sammusundi@yahoo.com](mailto:sammusundi@yahoo.com),  
[swmusundi@chuka.ac.ke](mailto:swmusundi@chuka.ac.ke).

Article history: Received 29 July 2014, Received in revised form 2 September 2014, Accepted 3 September 2014, Published 5 September 2014.

**Abstract:** We discuss the distribution of spectra of a direct sum decomposition of an arbitrary operator into normal and completely non normal parts. We utilize the fact that any given operator  $T \in B(H)$  can be decomposed into a direct summand  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$  with  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are the normal and completely non normal parts respectively. This canonical decomposition is preferred to other forms of decomposition such as Polar and Cartesian decompositions because these two do not transfer certain properties (for instance the spectra, numerical range, and numerical radius) from the original /decomposed operator to the constituent parts. This is presumably done since these parts are simpler to deal with.

**Keywords:** Spectra, direct decomposition, normal and completely non normal parts.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):** 47A10; 47A11; 47A15; 47A25

## 1. Preliminaries

### 1.1. Notation and Terminology

In this paper, a Hilbert space will be denoted by a capital letter  $H$ , while a bounded linear operator shall be denoted by  $T$ , where an operator means a bounded linear transformation (equivalently, a continuous linear transformation)  $T:H \rightarrow K$ .  $B(H)$  denotes the set of bounded linear transformations from  $H$  into itself, which is equipped with the (induced uniform) norm. For an operator  $T$ , we denote by  $T^*$  the adjoint of  $T$ . The *spectrum* of  $T$  is defined and denoted by  $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \lambda I - T \text{ not invertible}\}$ . It is a union of disjoint components, namely, the *point spectrum*  $\sigma_p(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \lambda I - T \text{ is not injective}\}$ , the *continuous spectrum*  $\sigma_c(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \lambda I - T \text{ is injective and } \lambda I - T \text{ has a dense range}\}$  and the *residual spectrum*  $\sigma_R(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \lambda I - T \text{ is injective and } \lambda I - T \text{ has a non-dense range}\}$ .  $\sigma_{ap}(T)$  shall denote the approximate point spectrum defined by  $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \lambda I - T \text{ not bounded}\}$ .

An operator  $T$  is said to be:

An isometry if  $T^*T = I$ , Unitary if  $T^*T = TT^* = I$ , Hyponormal if  $T^*T \geq TT^*$ ,

$p$ -hyponormal if  $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p$  where  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $(p,k)$ -quasihyponormal if

$T^*[(T^*T)^p - (TT^*)^p]T^k \geq 0$  for some positive integer  $k$  and  $0 < p \leq 1$  and

a unilateral shift if there exist a sequence  $\{H_0, H_1, \dots, \dots\}$  of pairwise orthogonal subspaces of  $H$  such that:

- $H_0 \oplus H_1 \oplus \dots$
- $T$  spans  $H_n$  isometrically onto  $H_{n+1}$ .

For a subspace  $M$  of  $H$ , the orthogonal complement of  $M$  is given by  $M^\perp = \{u \in H: \langle u, v \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } v \in M\}$ .

## 2. Introduction

We first give some results concerning the spectrum of a normal operator.

**Definition 2.1** An operator  $T \in B(H)$  is said to be normal if  $T^*T = TT^*$  (equivalently, if  $\|Tx\| = \|T^*x\| \forall x \in H$ ).

**Definition 2.2** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space, a subspace  $M$  of  $H$  is said to be invariant under an operator  $T \in B(H)$  if  $TM \subset M$  or precisely  $Tx \in M$  for all  $x \in M$ .

We can then state the following result:

### Corollary 2.3

An operator  $T \in B(H)$  is invariant under  $M$  iff  $T^*$  is invariant under  $M^\perp$ .

### Definition 2.4

A subspace  $M \subset H$  is said to reduce an operator  $T \in B(H)$  if  $M$  is invariant under both  $T$  and  $T^*$ .

We state and prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5**

A subspace  $M \subset H$  is said to reduce an operator if both  $M$  and  $M^\perp$  are invariant under  $T$ .

**Proof**

Suppose  $M$  reduces  $T$ , then  $M$  is invariant under both  $T$  and  $T^*$ . In particular,  $M$  is invariant under  $T^*$  implying by the corollary above that  $M^\perp$  is invariant under  $T^{**} = T$ . Thus, both  $M$  and  $M^\perp$  are invariant under  $T$ .

Conversely, suppose both  $M$  and  $M^\perp$  are invariant under  $T$ , then by the corollary 2.3 above,  $M^\perp$  invariant under  $T$  imply that  $M$  is invariant under  $T^*$ . Therefore  $M$  and  $M^\perp$  are invariant under  $T$  and by definition 2.4,  $M$  reduces  $T$ .

**Lemma 2.6**

If  $T$  is a normal operator, then  $\sigma_R(T) = \emptyset$ .

**Proof**

Suppose  $\sigma_R(T) \neq \emptyset$  and let  $\lambda \in \sigma_R(T)$ .

By definition,  $\lambda \in \sigma_R(T)$  if  $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}$  exist as a map bounded or unbounded but actually means that there exist a non zero vector  $x$  such that

$$(\bar{\lambda}I - T^*)x = 0 \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

Since  $T$  is normal, so is  $\lambda I - T$ . Equivalently,

$$\|(\lambda I - T)x\| = \|(\bar{\lambda}I - T^*)x\| \text{ for all } x \in H \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

From these two arguments, (1) and (2);

$$\|(\lambda I - T)x\| = 0 \text{ for } x \neq 0 \text{ or } (\lambda I - T)x = 0 \text{ for } x \neq 0$$

Therefore  $x \in \sigma_p(T)$ .

This is a contradiction since  $\sigma_R(T) \cap \sigma_p(T) = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $\sigma_R(T) = \emptyset$ .

**Corollary 2.7**

If  $T$  is a normal operator, then  $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma(T)$ .

**Proof**

From Lemma 2.6 together with the definitions of  $\sigma_{ap}(T)$  it implies that;

$$\sigma_{ap}(T) \supseteq \sigma_p(T) \cup \sigma_c(T) \text{ and since } \sigma(T) = \sigma_p(T) \cup \sigma_c(T) \cup \sigma_R(T)$$

Then the result follows by the above corollary.

**3. On a Direct Sum of a Quasinormal Operator**

ZbigniewBurdak (2013), classifies an operator  $T \in B(H)$  as a quasinormal if  $T$  commutes with  $T^*T$  i.e.  $T(T^*T) = (T^*T)T$ . Quasinormal operators were first studied by Brown (1953) and it's quite clear that quasinormal  $\supset$  normal and thus  $T$  can be quasinormal but not normal as illustrated below;

**Example 3.1**

Let  $H = l_2$  and  $T$  be the unilateral shift given by the following matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ 1 & \dots & & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$T^*T = I \Rightarrow T(T^*T) = T = (T^*T)T$$

Hence  $T$  is quasinormal. However  $T^*T - TT^* = \text{diag} (1,0,0, \dots \dots)$  hence  $T$  is not normal.

Notice that if  $T$  is quasinormal so are the powers  $T^n$  for  $n = 0,1,2, \dots \dots$ . Brown (1953), showed that every quasinormal operator can be written as a direct sum  $T = N \oplus S$  where  $N$  is the normal part and  $S$  the dilated shift operator associated with a positive operator. On the study of their spectra, the spectrum of  $T$  has an interior part.  $S$  is actually a tensor product and thus  $\sigma(S)$  is a closed disk given by  $\{z: |z| \leq \|S\|\}$ .

If  $c > 0$ , then  $cT$  where  $T$ , the unilateral shift illustrated in the example above is completely quasinormal with spectrum  $\{z: |z| \leq c\}$ . Thus in conclusion, a compact set  $X$  is the spectrum of a completely quasinormal operator  $T$  if and only if  $X = \{z: |z| \leq c\}$ ; for  $c > 0$ .

**Lemma 3.2**

If  $T$  is a  $(p, k)$ -quasihyponormal operator, then  $T$  has the following matrix representation;  $T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{bmatrix}$

where  $T_1$  is a  $p$ -hyponormal operator on  $\overline{\text{Ran}(T^k)}$  and  $T_3^k = 0$ .

Furthermore,  $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_1) \cup \{0\}$ .

**4. On a Direct Summand of a Quasi-\*Paranormal Operator**

**Definition 4.1 Arora and Thukral (1986)**

An operator  $T \in B(H)$  is said to be quasi-\*paranormal if for each vector  $x \in H$ ,  $\|T^*T\|^2 \leq \|T^2x\| \|x\|$ .

We then state the following results;

**Definition 4.2**

Let  $T \in B(H)$  be a quasi-\*paranormal operator, then for any scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ :  $N_T(\lambda) = N_T(\bar{\lambda})$

If we let  $N = N_T(\lambda)$ , then  $N$  reduces  $T$  and  $T$  restricted to  $N$  is normal. Furthermore  $N_T(\lambda) \perp N_T(\mu)$  whenever  $\lambda \neq \mu$ .

**Theorem 4.3**

Let  $T \in B(H)$  be a quasi- $*$  paranormal operator, then  $T$  can be expressed uniquely as a direct sum  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$  defined on the product space  $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$  such that the following properties are satisfied;

- $T_1$  is normal
- $T_2$  is a quasi- $*$ paranormal and  $\sigma_p(T_2) = \emptyset$ .

**Proof**

Let  $H_1 = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)} N_T(\lambda)$ , then  $H_1$  is spanned by proper vectors of  $T$ . Since  $N_T(\lambda)$ , is a closed subspace,  $H_1$  is thus a closed linear subspace and therefore  $H = H_1 \oplus H_1^\perp = H_1 \oplus H_2$  where  $H_2 = H_1^\perp$ .

Let  $T_1$  be  $T$  restricted to  $H_1$  and  $T_2$  be  $T$  restricted to  $H_2$ .

Therefore we can write  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$  uniquely.

Let  $x \in H_1$  then,  $x = x_\lambda + x_\mu + \dots$  where  $x_\lambda \in N_T(\lambda)$  and  $x_\mu \in N_T(\mu)$  etc.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } T_1^*T_1(x) &= T_1^*T_1(x_\lambda + x_\mu + \dots) = \lambda T_1^*x_\lambda + \mu T_1^*x_\mu + \dots = \lambda \bar{\lambda}x_\lambda + \mu \bar{\mu}x_\mu + \dots = \bar{\lambda}\lambda x_\lambda + \\ &\bar{\mu}\mu x_\mu + \dots = \bar{\lambda}T_1x_\lambda + \bar{\mu}T_1x_\mu + \dots = T_1T_1^*(x_\lambda + x_\mu + \dots) = T_1T_1^*(x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $T_1$  is normal.

Let  $x \in H_2$ , then  $x = 0 + x \in H_1 \oplus H_2$ . Since  $T$  is quasi- $*$ paranormal, then  $\|T_2^*T_2(x)\| = \|T^*T(0 + x)\| \leq \|T^3(0 + x)\| \|T(0 + x)\| = \|T_2^3(x)\| \|T_2(x)\|$  for all  $x \in H$

Now suppose  $\sigma_p(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ , if  $\mu \in \sigma_p(T_2)$ , then there is a non-zero vector  $x$  in  $H_2$  such that  $T_2x = \mu x$ .

Let  $T(0 + x) = T_2x = \mu x = \mu(0 + x)$ , then  $x = 0 + x \in N_T(\mu)$  implying that  $x \in H_1$ . This is a contradiction since  $x$  is non zero. Therefore  $\sigma_p(T_2) = \emptyset$ .

**Proposition 4.4 (Wold decomposition), Faulkner and Huneycutt (1978)**

Every isometry is a direct sum of a unitary operator and a unilateral shift.

**Proposition 4.5**

An isometry is completely non normal (c.n.n.) or pure if and only if it is a unilateral shift.

**Proof**

This is trivially true from the inclusion *unitary*  $\subset$  *normal*

The following example clearly illustrates the above proposition.

**Example 4.6**

Let  $H = l_2$ , the space of all square-summable sequences and  $A$  the right shift operator,  $A(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$ . Then  $\|Ax\| = \|x\|$  for all  $x \in l_2$ .

Since  $A^*$  is the left shift operator, we have that  $A^*(A(x)) = A^*(0, x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, \dots) = x$

On the other hand, we have  $A(A^*(x)) = A(x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_2, x_3, \dots) \neq x$

Thus  $A^*A \neq AA^*$  implying that  $A$  is not normal. Therefore a right shift operator is hyponormal but not normal (has no direct summand).

**Corollary 4.7**

If  $A$  is a hyponormal operator and  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A)$ , then  $\text{Ker}(A - \lambda)$  reduces  $A$ .

**Corollary 4.8**

If  $A$  is a pure hyponormal operator, then  $\sigma_p(A) = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 4.9**

Let  $A$  be a  $p$ -hyponormal operator for  $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $A$  has a normal summand if and only if  $\tilde{A}$  has a normal summand.

Thus it can be shown that if  $A$  is a  $p$ -hyponormal operator, then  $A$  is normal iff  $\tilde{A}$  is normal and the point spectrum of  $A$  consists of normal eigenvalues.

**Lemma 4.5**

If  $A$  is a pure  $p$ -hyponormal operator, then  $\sigma_p(A) = \emptyset$ .

**Proof**

Suppose that  $\sigma_p(A) \neq \emptyset$ , then since  $0 \in \sigma_p(A)$ , it implies that  $0$  is a normal eigenvalue of  $A$ .

We may assume  $0 \notin \sigma_p(A)$ .

Let  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A), \lambda \neq 0$  and let  $x$  be an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then,

$$(A - \lambda)x = 0 \text{ imply that } \{|A|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|A|^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda\} |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}x = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle A \triangleq \lambda \rangle |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}x = 0 \text{ implying } \langle |\hat{A}|^{\frac{1}{2}}V|\hat{A}|^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda \rangle |\hat{A}|^{\frac{1}{2}}x = 0 \text{ and thus } \langle A \triangleq \lambda \rangle |\hat{A}|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\hat{A}|^{\frac{1}{2}}x = 0$$

That is  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(\tilde{A}) = \emptyset$ . Since  $\tilde{A}$  is hyponormal,  $\lambda$  is a normal eigenvalue of  $\tilde{A}$ , Daoxing (1981).

By lemma 4.5 above, it implies that  $A$  has a normal direct summand hence a contradiction since  $A$  is pure.

Therefore,  $\sigma_p(A) = \emptyset$ .

**5. Conclusion**

Based on the basic notations and definitions in sections 1 and 2, as one of our main results concerning the spectrum of a normal operator, in Lemma 2.6, we showed that a bounded linear operator  $T$  is normal if  $\sigma_R(T) = \emptyset$ . This result was further extended in Corollary 2.7, where it was proved that if  $T$  is a normal operator, then  $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma(T)$ .

In section 3, by classifying an operator  $T \in B(H)$  as a quasinormal, evidently, it was shown that quasinormal  $\supset$  normal and in Example 3.1, we illustrated that the spectrum of  $T$  can be decomposed as

a direct sum if  $T$  is quasinormal but not normal. Here, we concluded that a compact set  $X$  is the spectrum of a completely quasinormal operator  $T$  if and only if  $X = \{z: |z| \leq c\}$ ; for  $c > 0$ .

Following the definition of an operator  $T \in B(H)$  being quasi-\*paranormal by Arora and Thukral (1986), in Theorem 4.3, we proved that  $T$  can be uniquely expressed as a direct sum  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$  such that  $T_1$  is normal and  $T_2$  is a quasi-\*paranormal with  $\sigma_p(T_2) = \emptyset$ . In Proposition 4.5, using Example 4.6, we showed that an isometry is completely non normal (c.n.n.) or pure if and only if it is a unilateral shift. Consequently, in Lemma 4.5, it followed that for a right shift operator  $A$ , if  $A$  is a pure p-hyponormal operator, then  $\sigma_p(A) = \emptyset$ .

## References

- [1] Arora, S. C, Thukral, J. K., On a class of operators. Glas. Mat. 21(41)(**1986**): 381-386.
- [2] Brown A., On a class of operators. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (**1953**): 723-728.
- [3] Daoxing Xia, On the Spectra of Hypornomal or Semi Hypornormal Operators. J. Operator Theory 5(**1981**): 257-266.
- [4] Faulkner, C. D., Huneycutt, J. E, Jr., Orthogonal Decomposition of Isometries in a Banach Space. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.,69(1)(**1978**): 125-128.
- [5] ZbigniewBurdak, On the Decomposition of Families of Quasinormal Operators. Opuscula Math. 33(3)(**2013**): 419-438.