



# KIBABII UNIVERSITY

2020/2021 ACADEMIC YEAR

THIRD YEAR FIRST SEMESTER

MAIN EXAMINATIONS

FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN RENEWABLE ENERGY AND BIOFUELS TECHNOLOGY

**COURSE CODE: IET 411** 

COURSE TITLE: Hydropower and Tidal Energy

DATE:20/07/2021

TIME: 2:00-4:00PM

# INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

Answer question ONE and any other two questions

This paper consists of 6 printed pages. Please Turn over

### **Question One**

# (a) The table below is a SWOT analysis of hydropower plants

Table 1.1: SWOT analysis for hydropower

Strengths	Weaknesses	Opportunities	Threats
Cheapest renewable energy option Water remains available for other purposes after use Continuous availability of power on demand Concentrated energy source given a reasonable head Predictable quantity of available energy Requires no fuel and only limited maintenance for low running costs (compared with diesel power) Long-lasting and robust, systems can last 50+ years without major new in- vestments Simple enough to be transferred to and used in remote rural areas	Mostly site-specific     Reliable site data must be collected to guarantee output     Lengthy planning and permit procedures     Seasonal variations affect performance     Dams and rivers collect water for electricity production, which alters the natural water flow system and thus deprives other needs.     Potential for serious disputes caused by changes to the river pathway and water shortages	Large demand and supply gap     Major opportunities in hydroelectric consultancy in Nigeria and abroad     New sources of power generation     Opportunity to go global through tie-ups	Rising cost of production     Competition from other new and environmentally friendly sources of power     Local opposition to environmental impacts and displacement of people

	(i) (ii) (iii) (iv)	Select any ONE item from each column and explain it Climate change is an additional risk to the future of hydro power. Explain this With the help of a sketch, describe the hydrologic (water) cycle Give two arguments against tidal energy and why we should avoid investing in that technology	[6 Marks] [2 Marks] [5 Marks] [4 Marks]
(b)	Show	that the available power from the water in the plant can be written as	[5 Marks]
	$P = \rho g$	QH	
Where			
		e density of water [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ],	
	g is the gravitational constant [m/s <sup>2</sup> ],		
	Q is the flow rate of water $[m^3/s]$ and		
		esponds to the head height [m].	
(c)	The ba	asin area of a tidal power plant is 20 X 10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>2</sup> . The tidal range is 8m. Determine the that can be generated in kWh.	[5 Marks]
(d)	Solar e	energy, wind energy and hydro-power are all intermittent in their availability. Explain the problems caused by the intermittency can be mitigated	[ 3 Marks]

## **Question Two**

(a) Kaplan, Francis & Pelton turbines have characteristics which suit them to different conditions. Which would you select for the following sites and why?

(i)	Head of 250m and flow of 0.2 cumec	[2 Marks]
(ii)	Head of 3.5m and a flow of 1.0 cumec in summer and 11.0 cumec in winter	[2 Marks]
(iii)	Head of 15m and a flow of 8.0cumec	[2 Marks]

- (b) The rainfall catchment area in a mountainous region is 26.5km<sup>2</sup>. If the annual rainfall is 1.19m, and the only path for water to flow out of the region is over a single natural waterfall (head = 9m), determine
  - (i) The total water volume collected in a year

[1 Mark]

(ii) The average water flow that this would produce


[1 Mark]

(iii) Theoretical power available

[1 Mark]

(c) Just below the waterfall a measuring weir was used to monitor the flow. The resulting Flow Duration Curve (FDC) is plotted in figure below

flow duration curve



The Francis turbine suitable for this location is 85% efficient at a water flow of 1 cumec, and can be considered to have zero efficiency at all other flow rates.

(i) Use this information to estimate annual energy output of such a Francis turbine fitted into the weir. Assume the electrical generator is 100% efficient, and that the authorities do not insist on compensation flow because the river bed is virtually dry for part of the year anyway. [1 Mark]

(ii) For what fraction of the year can this scheme provide useful output?

[1 Mark]

(iii) What is the total annual energy output?

[3 Marks]

(d) (i) By building a dam wall on the weir site it would be possible to store water and so generate with a steady flow of 1 cumec throughout the year. What would the annual energy output be in this case?

[3 Mark]

(ii) If energy is valued at KES 7/kWh, how much could be spent on building the dam for a payback period of 5 years?

[3 Mark]

#### **Question Three**

(a)	(i)	Describe the purpose of Silt Basins and forebay tanks in a hydropower scheme	[4 marks]
	(ii)	Explain the key principles in the design of the forebay tank (silt basin)	[8 marks]
(b)	State th	e characteristics considered in selection of a penstock	[4 marks]
(c)	State th	e main components of a small hydropower scheme	[4 Marks]

	Question Four		
(a)	(i)	State the main instruments used to measure the hydraulic head of a small-scale hydropower scheme	[3 marks]
	(ii)	Explain how the precision of a measurement be estimated	[2 marks]
	(ii)	Explain why it is important to measure the head under a number of different flow regimes for a low head site.	[3 marks]
(b)	Expl	ain what would be considered when deciding between leat, penstock and leat/penstock	[4 marks]
(c)	Expl	ain how you evaluate the hydro-electric potential of a site on a particular river on the	[8 marks]

### **Question Five**

basis of river flow measurements

- (a) A hydropower plant is to be installed in **Kasese**, **Uganda**. The power plant has the following characteristics:
  - Gross Head: 300 m; Design flow=0.6m<sup>3</sup>/s The length of the penstock pipe is 1868 m long.

Find out the following in these two cases if

(a) the penstock pipe is Mild steel (MS) with the manning roughness coefficient "n" = 0.012 (b) the penstock pipe is Glass Reinforced Pipe (GRP) with the manning roughness coefficient "n" = 0.009. Diameter of the penstock pipes, assuming that the allowable velocity in the penstock pipe is 2 m/s

Use the following formulae in your calculations

$$D = \left(\frac{4Q}{\pi . V}\right)^{0.5}$$
....eq 1

 $Head\;loss = \langle 10.29 \times n^2 \times Q^2/D^{5.333} \rangle L$ 

- (i) Net head in both the cases. Assume the summation of other head losses (viz bend, trash rack and expansion/contraction) is about 2% of the pipe friction loss.
- (ii) Electrical Power output from the project in the above two cases. If the efficiency of the turbine is 89% and generator efficiency is 94%
- (b) What type of turbine will you use in such cases? (Use the graph at the back of this question [2 Marks] paper to select the turbine)
- (c) With the capacity factor of 0.5 and unit rate for power selling to the grid at 0.12USD/kWh & if the total GRP pipe installation is 30,000 USD more expensive in the installation compare to MS pipe, figure out in which year the project gets payback for this additional cost spent for GRP pipe for penstock. Other cost remaining same.
- (d) In the above problem, if the village was earlier powered by a diesel generator and was replaced by the hydropower later: (Assume that the CO<sub>2</sub> tax is 360 USD/m³ diesel fuel. Diesel cost is \$ 0.7 per liter, specific fuel consumption of diesel engine is 0.5 liters per kWhr, and 1 liter of diesel produces 2.65kg of CO<sub>2</sub>. Also assume that the electricity is produced with full capacity for an average 10 hours a day.)
  - (i) Calculate the annual cost saving in terms of avoided fuel cost and CO<sub>2</sub> emission tax. [3 Marks]
    - ii) Also, estimate CO<sub>2</sub> emission avoided. [2 Marks]

